UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

MATTHEW J. SILVA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

STATE OF NEVADA et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00348-RFB-NJK

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a state prisoner. On August 30, 2016, the Court issued a minute order directing Plaintiff to file written notification of his change of address on or before September 30, 2016. (ECF No. 3). The deadline has now expired, and Plaintiff has not filed an updated address notification or otherwise responded to the Court's order.

District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and "[i]n the exercise of that power, they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . . dismissal" of a case. *Thompson v. Hous. Auth. of City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action, with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute an action, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for failure to comply with local rule requiring

2

1

3 4 5

6

pro se plaintiffs to keep court apprised of address); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the court must consider several factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives. Thompson, 782 F.2d at 831; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; Malone, 833 F.2d at 130; Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; Ghazali, 46 F.3d at 53.

In the instant case, the Court finds that the first two factors, the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation and the Court's interest in managing the docket, weigh in favor of dismissal. The third factor, risk of prejudice to Defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal, since a presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in filing a pleading ordered by the court or prosecuting an action. See Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor – public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits – is greatly outweighed by the factors in favor of dismissal discussed herein. Finally, a court's warning to a party that his failure to obey the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the "consideration of alternatives" requirement. Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1262; Malone, 833 F.2d at 132-33; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1424. The Court's order requiring Plaintiff to file an updated address notification with the Court on or before September 30, 2016, expressly stated: "if no action is taken in this case on or before Friday, September 30, 2016, the Court will dismiss this action with prejudice for failure to comply with Minutes of the Court and LSR 2-2." (ECF No. 3). Thus, Plaintiff had adequate warning that dismissal would result from his noncompliance with the Court's order to file an updated address notification.

27

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is dismissed with prejudice based
2	on Plaintiff's failure to file an updated address notification in compliance with this Court's
3	August 30, 2016 minute order.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF
5	No. 1) is denied as moot.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment
7	accordingly.
8	
9	DATED this 16th day of November 2016.
10	
11	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	